FIRST RECORDS OF *NOTOTODARUS HAWAIIENSIS* (BERRY, 1912) (CEPHALOPODA: OMMASTREPHIDAE) FROM NORTHERN AUSTRALIA WITH A RECONSIDERATION OF THE IDENTITY OF *N. SLOANI PHILIPPINENSIS* VOSS, 1962

By MALCOLM DUNNING

Maritime Estate Management Branch, Queensland National Parks and Wildlife Scrvice, PO Box 190, North Quay, Qld 4002, Australia

Abstract

Dunning, M., 1988. First records of *Nototodarus hawaiiensis* (Berry, 1912) (Cephalopoda: Ommastrephidae) from northern Australia with a reconsideration of the identity of *N. sloani philippinensis* Voss, 1962. *Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria* 49: 159-168.

Nototodarus hawaiiensis (Berry, 1912) is reported for the first time from northern Australian continental slope waters and distribution and life history are discussed. Re-examination of the holotype of *N. sloani philippinensis* Voss, 1962 confirms that this subspecies is a junior synonym of *N. hawaiiensis* and that the paratype is referrable to *Todarodes pacificus* Steenstrup, 1880.

Introduction

Recent exploratory trawling for deep-water crustaceans in north-western and north-eastern Australian eontinental slope waters yielded significant numbers of a large ommastrephid squid, assigned to the genus *Nototodarus* Pfcffer, 1912 on the basis of the simple foveola in the funnel groove, absence of light organs and hectocotylization of both ventral arms in males. Additional specimens were identified from off the New South Wales coast in the collections of the Australian Museum, Sydney.

Brief review of the distribution of Nototodarus species

Six nominal forms of the genus *Nototodarus* have been described from continental shelf and slope waters of the Indo-Pacific region.

N. gouldi (MeCoy, 1888) is the dominant squid in continental shelf waters of southern Australia. Its known distribution extends as far north as 27°S off the east eoast and at least as far as 25°S off the west coast (Lu and Dunning, 1982). Berry (1918) provided a detailed morphological description of post-juvenile growth stages of this species.

N. hawaiiensis (Berry, 1912) has been reported from the Hawaiian and Midway Islands (Berry, 1912, 1914; Wormuth, 1976; Young, 1978), and the South China Sea (Dong, 1963). Its distribution throughout the island chains of the central Pacifie remains unclear although Okutani and Kuroiwa (1985) tentatively assigned to this species specimens taken on jigs at a seamount off the coast of Chile.

N. nipponicus Okutani and Uemura, 1973 was described from jig-caught specimens from southern Honshu, Japan. *N. nipponicus* was characterised by "rough" skin, a very broad fin relative to mantle length and large fin angle. In a recent paper, Okutani and Kuroiwa (1985) considered *N. nipponicus* to be a junior synonym of *N. philippinensis*.

The identity of a fourth nominal species, *N. insignis* (Gould, 1852), described from "Feejee Islands; Antarctic Seas" remains to be clarified. Pfeffer (1912) erected the genus *Nototodarus* based on a single male specimen assigned to *Ommastrephes insignis* from the south-east coast of New Zealand. However, Gould's type specimen was not examined by Pfeffer. It has not been redescribed and its present location is unknown.

Names of the two (or according to some authors, three) forms of *Nototodarus* occurring in New Zealand waters are confused (Tung, 1977; Kawakami and Okutani, 1981; Smith et al., 1981). The nomenelature currently is being reviewed (R.H. Mattlin, pers. comm.), but the morphological characteristics of the dominant forms and their distributions are well defined (Smith, 1985). The form predominating off the north-west coast of new Zealand is morphologically identical to the southern Australian *N.gouldi*, with the form from the cast coast of New Zealand referred to as *N*. *sloani* (Gray, 1849) showing the characteristics clearly described and illustrated by Pfeffer (1912) for *N. insignis* (R.11. Mattlin, pers. comm.).

N. sloani philippinensis Voss, 1962 has been recorded from slope waters around the Philippines and Hong Kong (Voss, 1963; Voss and Williamson, 1971). Perera (1975) assigned squid specimens taken by jig from off Sri Lanka to this subspecies. However the sessile arm and tentacular elub suckers illustrated in figure 10, p. 58, are characteristic of the subfamily Ommastrephinae rather than Todarodinae casting doubt on the validity of this identification.

Differences between *N. gouldi* and the form currently identified as *N. sloani* are clearly presented by Smith et al. (1981, Fig.2, p. 249) and Kawakami and Okutani (1981: 22-28, Fig. 1). With the exception of the structure of the hectocotylus, characters that separate *N. hawaiiensis* and *N. gouldi* were well described by Berry (1918: 242-243). However, morphological differences between *N. hawaiiensis* and *N. sloani philippinensis* remain unclear. In light of our recent understanding of morphological characters useful in separating species of the genus *Nototodarus* (Smith et al., 1981; R.H. Mattlin, pers. comm.; M.A. Roeleveld, pers. comm.), the types of these nominal species were re-examined for the present study.

Material examined

Specimens from northern Australian waters examined during this study were collected by the CSIRO Research Vessel "Soela" and New South Wales Fisheries RV "Kapala" with demersal fish and deep-water lobster trawls, the commercial trawlers FV "Craigmin" and FV "Iron Summer" with prawn trawls and from the Japanese RV "Hoyo-maru" No. 81 using a hand held scoop net. Collecting locations are shown in Figure 1 and details presented in Appendix 1.

The majority of measurements and indices used in this study follow Wormuth (1976). Counts of arm suckers were made using a binocular dissecting microscope and indices are expressed as a proportion of dorsal mantle length (ML) unless otherwise specified. Interpretation of tentacular elub structure agrees with that proposed by Roeleveld (1982) and the criteria described in Dunning and Brandt (1985) were used to assess reproductive condition.

Results and discussion

Reconsideration of N. sloani philippinensis Voss, 1962

The structure of the hectoeotylus has been shown to be of major taxonomic importance within the family Ommastrephidae and particularly within the genus Nototodarus (Adam, 1960; M.A. Roeleveld, pers. comm.). However, both N. hawaiiensis and N. sloani philippinensis were originally described from female specimens. Wormuth (1976) described the hectocotylus of N. hawaiiensis, but the form of the modification of the ventral arms of N. philippinensis has not been described in detail in the literature. The general description given by Voss and Williamson (1971: 70) is nonspecifie, viz. "the left arm is modified only basally by the enlargement of the protective membrane supports. The right arm is modified similarly basally but on the distal half the suckers are modified into long papillae forming a comb-like structure."

Voss (1962) distinguished *N.sloani philippinensis* from *N. hawaiiensis* and *N. gouldi* (which he considered as subspecies of *N. sloani*) on the basis of the dentition of the arm and tentacular suckers. *N. sloani philippinensis* differs from the form from the east coast of New Zealand currently referred to as *Nototodarus sloani* (Kawakami and Okutani, 1981; Smith et al., 1981; R.H. Mattlin, pers. comm.) (Table 1). These differences are sufficiently significant to recognize *N. sloani* and *N. philippinensis* as distinct species.

Comparison of N. philippinensis with N. hawaiiensis

To clarify the morphological differences between them, the holotype and paratype of *N. philippinensis* and the holotype and one additional specimen of *N. hawaiiensis* described by Berry (1914) were re-examined (Table 2).

In the holotypes of both species, approximately 20 pairs of suckers are present on the right dorsolateral arms. Sucker rings in both progress from being almost smooth in row one through the development of slightly raised low ridges on the distal half in row two to separate conical teeth in row three, a single much larger medial tooth being flanked by three to four smaller teeth. Low ridges appear on row four which by row six are developed as low triangular rather than conical teeth. Largest suckers are in rows seven and eight and possess 19 to 21 teeth in both specimens. From these rows distally, the proximal triangular tooth margins of the rings begin to degenerate and the distal conieal teeth become more equal in size. From row nine onward,



Figure 1. Capture localities of Nototodarus hawaiiensis (Berry, 1912) in northern Australian waters (triangles) and of the type specimen of N. sloani philippinensis Voss, 1962 (star) off the east coast of Luzon, Philippines.

only the conical teeth on the distal margin remain and curve more markedly inward.

Minute denticles interspersed among the distal teeth are rare and not consistently present even between the partners in an arm sucker row in the holotype of *N. hawaiiensis* and do not occur in the additional specimen examined (Berry No. 383; USNM 214617). (An additional specimen, Berry No. 248 (USNM 214632) is not a *Nototodarus*. Although poorly preserved, knobs of the fixing apparatus are evident on both tentacles and evidence exists of side pockets in the funnel groove. These characters place this specimen in the subfamily Ommastrephinae). No differences between the holotypes are evident in the tentacular sucker dentition. Both have median manus sucker rings with 13 to 18 acutely pointed inwardly curved teeth, triangular proximally and more conical on the distal margin with a single much larger tooth distalmost. These teeth regularly alternate with low, wide curved plates in both specimens. Largest marginal manus suckers in each specimen have 19 to 21 equal pointed teeth alternating with small sometimes sharp denticles.

Sucker rings in the carpal region of the tentacles of both holotypes have an identical structure to those of the distal arm suckers. The sucker arrangement in both is left: 1,2,1 and right: 2,2,1;

	Holotype N. s. philippinensis	Paratype N. s philippinensis USNM 575452	Holotype N. hawaiiensis USNM 214382	Berry No. 383 N. hawaiiensis USNM 214617
	USNM 575451*			
M1 (mm)	180	101	138	116
Sex	1.	ŀ	F	F
MWE	25	19	24	
ET L	36	33	38	32
FW1	55	45	55	45
·Λ	52"	52	51°	
11 1	24	19	20	28
IWI	28	20	27	24
RAL	33	28	45	37
SR(IRA)I	2	1.4	2	2
SC	19	16	19	19
HSC	21	19	18	20
SR(RT)I	3.3	2.4	3.4	3.0
TEL	47	31	-	-
MaSC (rows)	10	9	11	11
Arm Formula	3>2>1>4	2 3>1>4	2>3>1>4	2 3>1 4

Table 1. Measurements and counts for the holotype and paratype of *N. sloani philippinensis* Voss, 1962 and the holotype and an additional specimen (Berry No. 383) of *N. hawaiiensis* (Berry, 1912).

* United States National Museum of Natural History.

Table 2. Morphological comparison of Nototodarus sloani philippinensis and N. sloani

	N. sloani*	N. philippinensis†			
Arm sucker count	>60	22			
Head length index	< 20	24			
Head width index	< 24	28			
Arm I sucker index	< 1.2	1.6			
Tentacle sucker index	< 2.5	3.3			
Fin length index	>42	35.5			
Arm and tentacular sucker teeth	approximately equal	single much larger tooth			
Teeth largest medial manus sucker	12-15	14-18			
Quadriserial rows of manus	12-13	10			
Skin	"smooth"	"rough"			

* Includes values from Kawakami and Okutani (1981) and Wormuth (1976).

† Values for the holotype.

the basic pattern in ommastrephids according to Roeleveld (1982). Voss' holotype has ten quadriserial manus rows and the holotype of *N. hawaiiensis* eleven. (Three specimens of *N. hawaiiensis* from Hawaiian waters had either ten or eleven manus rows.)

The paratype of *N. philippinensis* differs from the holotype as noted in the original description (viz. 18 to 20 equal conical teeth in the medial manus suckers compared with 14 to 18 with one much larger in the holotype; arm suckers without variation in tooth ring structure), the "rough" skin present in the holotypes of *N. philippinensis* and *N. hawaiiensis* (Figure 2) (also characteristic of *N. nipponicus*) is absent. Suckers of the arms and tentacles are proportionally smaller and mantle and fin proportions are different. 1 conclude that this specimen is referrable to *Todarodes pacificus* Steenstrup, 1880.



Figure 2. Holotypes of *Nototodarus sloani philippinensis* and *N. hawaiiensis* showing the "rough" skin of the mantle and head. (Scale = 50 mm)

I do not consider the morphological differences between the holotype of *N. philippinensis* and the holotype and an additional specimen of *N.* hawaiiensis examined by Berry (1914) sufficient to justify their separation into separate species. *N.* philippinensis Voss, 1962 therefore is a junior synonym of *N. hawaiiensis* (Berry, 1912).

These conclusions are summarised in the following synonymy:

?Ommastrephes sloanei. -- Schauinsland, 1899: 92.

Ommastrephes sagittata near sloanei. – Berry, 1909: 418.

?Ommastostrephes sloanei sloanei. -- Pfeffer, 1912: 458-9 (in part).

Ommastrephes hawaiiensis Berry, 1912: 434, 437.

Nototodarus sloani philippinensis Voss, 1962: 175.

Nototodarus sloani hawaiiensis. – Voss, 1962: 175.

Nototodarus hawaiiensis. – Wormuth, 1976: 2, 17-21, Fig. 3.

Northern Australian Nototodarus

Measurements and counts of representative specimens from northern Australian waters are compared with data from Table 1, from the literature for *N. hawaiiensis* and *N. gouldi* and from additional specimens from Hawaiian waters in Table 3. The form of hectocotylization in males was compared with that described by Wormuth (1976) for *N. hawaiiensis*, by Berry (1918) for *N. gouldi* and Smith et al. (1981) for *N. sloani*.

The northern Australian Nototodarus specimens differ from N. gouldi (McCoy, 1888), the common ommastrephid of southern continental shelf waters, and from the so-called N. sloani in the larger size of its head relative to mantle length, the smaller number of sucker rows on the sessile arms in specimens of similar size, the dentition of the suckers of the arms and tentacles and the structure of the hectocotylus in males as shown in Table 3 and Figure 3.

All specimens from northern Australian waters have "rough" skin both in the fresh as well as preserved specimens. This condition has not been observed by the author in several hundred fresh and

	<i>N. hawaiiensis</i> (Northern Australia)	N. hawaiiensis† (Hawaii)	N. sloani*	N. gouldi*
Arm 1 sucker count	21-28	19-27	>60	35-50
Head length index	19-28	23-31	< 20	16-20
Head width index	23-30	21-30	<24	18-22
Arm I sucker index	1.7-2.2	1.5-2.3	< 1.5	< 1.5
Tentacle sucker index	3.0-3.7	2.8-3.6	< 2.5	< 3.0
Fin length index	35-43	35-40	>42	>40
Arm and tentacular sucker teeth	single much larger tooth	single much larger tooth	approximately equal	approximately equal
Leeth largest medial manus sucker	14-18	14-16	12-15	12-15
Quadriserial rows of manus	10-11	10-11	12-13	12-13
Skin	"rough"	"rough"	"smooth"	"smooth"
Hectocotylus Proximal sucker bases enlarged as cushions	≈4-5 pairs	≈4-5 pairs	≈10 pairs	≈5-6 pairs
Normal sucker rows	1_6	1-5	≈ 5	≈ 5
Ventral protective membrane	wide with attenuated supports to tip of arm	wide with attenuated supports to tip of arm	present only on distal ½ of arm	wide with attenuated supports to tip of arm
Ventral sucker bases	modified as thin papillae	modified as thin papillae	rapidly diminish in height distally	rapidly diminish in height distally
Dorsål sucker bases	modified as broad based papillae extending to tip of arm equal in length to ventral papillae	modified as broad based papillae extending to tip of arm equal in length to ventral papillae	modified as distally flattened papillae extending to tip of arm	modified as broad based papillae extending to tip of arm

Table 3. Comparison of measurements and counts for Nototodarus specimens from northern Australian waters, New Zealand N. sloani, southern Australian and New Zealand N. gouldi and additional specimens of N.hawaiiensis from Hawaii

† Synthesis of values from Wormuth (1976) and material examined for this study.* Synthesis of values from Kawakami and Okutani (1981) and material examined for this study.



Figure 3. Right hectocotylized arms of a 256 mm ML male *Nototodarus sloani* from Taranaki Bight, central New Zealand (a), a 210 mm ML male *Nototodarus hawaiiensis* from off the northern Queensland coast (b) and a 266 mm ML male *Nototodarus gouldi* from off eastern Tasmania (c). Left ventral arms show a mirror reflection of the modified sucker bases seen on the proximal portion of the right arms in all species. (Scale = 10 mm)

preserved specimens of both *N. gouldi* and *N. sloani*, and represents a useful feature for rapid field identification. Regularly spaced thickenings in the dermis produce this "rough" texture.

On the basis of the size, number and dentition of arm and tentacular suckers (Figure 4), the structure of the hectocotylus in males and the "rough" texture of the skin, the northern Australian specimens are assigned to *N. hawaiiensis* (Berry, 1912).

Sexual dimorphism

While making measurements of the Australian *N. hawaiiensis* for the above morphometric comparisons, it became apparent that this species exhibits pronounced sexual dimorphism in body characteristics in larger specimens. A preliminary comparison of selected characters was undertaken for 25 males and 25 females between 120 and 231 mm ML trawled off the Great Barrier Reef in November 1985.

Larger head and arms, particularly of mature males are confirmed by significant differences in mean A111 length and head length indices (males: 66.9, 26.8 and females: 60.9, 23.7). No differences between males and females were found in fin proportions. (Mean fin length and fin width indices – males: 36.9, 60.6; females: 37.5, 61.1) [Mann-Whitney U-tests $p \ge 0.05$ (Siegel, 1956)].

Distribution and life history

The largest individuals of *N. hawaiiensis* examined were a fully mature female, 248 mm ML taken by

demersal trawl in 376 m off the North-west Shelf in August 1982 and a mature male of 215 mm ML caught in 555 m off southern Queensland in May 1983. A 36 mm ML juvenile taken at night in a scoop net off Lady Elliot Island, southern Queensland in April 1981 was the smallest specimen examined. Off the north-western coast, this species was collected south to 19°20'S in depths of between 200 and 500 m in water temperatures of less than 12.4°C and off the east coast to 32°34'S in depths of 100 to 600 m.

Nototodarus hawaiiensis has been taken around Hawaii in shrimp trawls and occasionally on jigs over depths of from 230 to 710 m (Young, 1978; R. Harman, pers. comm.) and Dong (1963) examined specimens of up to 140 mm ML caught at a depth of 290 m off Hainan. The holotype of *N. sloani philippinensis* was trawled in 565 m in soft mud where the bottom temperature was 7.4°C (Voss, 1963). Around Hong Kong, *N. philippinensis* has been caught on the bottom in depths of 275 to 650 m, reaching a maximum size of 180 mm ML (Voss and Williamson, 1971).

Among Australian specimens of *N. hawaiiensis*, the smallest mature female was 154 mm ML and the smallest mature male 152 mm ML. Mature squid were present off both the north-west and north-east coasts at all times when samples were collected (Appendix 1) which, together with the broad size range of specimens in all samples indicates a prolonged spawning period in Australian waters. No significant size disparity was observed



Figure 4. Arm III(a) and tentacular (b) suckers of a 205 mm female *Nototodarus hawaiiensis* from off northern Queensland. (Scale = 1 mm)

between females and males with an almost equal sex ratio evident in large samples (North-west Shelf, February 1983-60 females: 68 males; January 1984-314 females: 301 males).

In Hawaiian waters, male *N. hawaiiensis* reach maturity at less than 120 mm ML and females at less than 150 mm ML. Squid larger than 160 mm ML have not been reported in the literature from Hawaiian waters. Larvae of this species are present throughout the summer months (Harman and Young, 1986).

Off the eastern Australian coast between 28°S and 32°S, *N. hawaiiensis* and *N. gouldi* have been occasionally caught together on the upper continental slope and off the northern Queensland coast, the former species has been caught together with small numbers of *T. pacificus pusillus* (Dunning, 1988). The latter two species however are more abundant in shallower shelf waters. The distributions of *Todaropsis eblanae* (Ball, 1841) (Lu, 1982) and *N. hawaiiensis* show a major overlap in tropical Australian slope waters with *N. hawaiiensis* more abundant in all mixed samples 1 have examined.

Acknowledgements

l thank Dr C.C. Lu, Museum of Victoria, and R. Lindholm, CSIRO Division of Fisheries Research, Hobart, for helpful comments. The following provided material either as gifts or loans: R. Lindholm, W. Whitelaw, Drs J. Stevens and T. David, Division of Fisheries Research, CSIRO, Hobart; M. Potter, Fisheries Research Branch, Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Deception Bay; Dr W. Rudman and I. Loch, Malacology Department, Australian Museum, Sydney.

Loans of the type specimens of *N. sloani philippinensis* and the holotype and additional specimens of *N. hawaiiensis* were kindly arranged by M. Sweeney, U.S. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. R. Harman, University of Hawaii, and S. Machida, Japan Marine Fishery Resource Research Centre, Tokyo, kindly provided comparative material of *N. hawaiiensis* and *N. sloani* respectively.

References

- Adam, W., 1960. Notes sur les cephalopodes. XXIV. Contribution à la connaissance de l'hectocotyle chez les Ommastrephidae. Bulletin de l'Institut Royale des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique 36 (19): 1-10.
- Berry, S.S., 1912. A catalogue of Japanese Cephalopoda. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Science of Philadelphia 1912: 380-444.

- Berry, S.S., 1914. The Cephalopoda of the Hawaiian Islands. Bulletin of the Bureau of Fisheries, Washington 32: 255-362.
- Berry, S.S., 1918. Report on the Cephalopoda obtained by the F.I.S. Endeavour in the Great Australian Bight and other southern Australian localities. *Biological Results of the Fishing Experiments carried out by F.I.S. "Endeavour" 1909-1914* 4: 203-298.
- Dong, Z., 1963. A preliminary taxonomic study of the Cephalopoda from Chinese waters. *Studia Marina Sinica* 4: 125-162.
- Dunning, M.C., 1988. Todarodes pacificus pusillus new subspecies (Cephalopoda: Ommastrcphidac) from northern Australia. Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria 49: 149-157.
- Dunning, M.C. and Brandt, S.B., 1985. Distribution and life history of deepwater squid of commercial interest from Australia. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 36: 343-359.
- Harman, R.F. and Young, R.E., 1986. The larvae of the ommastrephid squids (Cephalopoda: Teuthoidea) from Hawaiian waters. *Vie et Milieu* 35(3/4): 211-222.
- Kawakami, T. and Okutani, T., 1981. A note on identity of ommastrephid squids of the genus Nototodarus exploited in New Zealand waters. Bulletin of the Tokai Regional Fisheries Research Laboratory 105: 17-30.
- Lu, C.C., 1982. First record of *Todaropsis eblanae* (Ball, 1841) (Cephalopoda: Oegopsida) in the Pacific Ocean. *Venus (Japanese Journal of Malacology)* 41(1): 67-70.
- Lu, C.C. and Dunning, M.C., 1982. Identification guide to Australian arrow spuid (Family Ommastrephidae). *Victorian Institute of Marine Sciences, Technical Report* 2, 30 pp.
- Okutani, T. and Kuroiwa, M., 1985. The first occurrence of *Nototodarus* (Cephalopoda: Ommastrephidae) from off Chile, southeast Pacific (Preliminary report) *Venus (Japanese Journal of Malacology)* 44(2): 95-102.
- Okutani, T. and Uemura, M., 1973. Rare and interesting squid from Japan -11. A new species of the genus *Nototodarus* from Japan (Oegopsida: Ommastrephidae). *Venus (Japanese Journal of Malacology)* 41(1): 67-70.
- Perera, N.M.P.J., 1975. Taxonomic study of the cephalopods, particularly the Teuthoidea (squids) and Sepioidea (Cuttlefish) in the waters around Sri Lanka. Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Station, Sri Lanka 26(1 and 2): 45-60.
- Pfeffer, G.J., 1912. Die Cephalopoden der Plankton-Expedition. Zugleich eine monographische Ubersicht der oegopsiden Cephalopoden. Ergebnisse der Plankton-Expedition der Humboldt-Shiftung 2: 1-815.
- Roeleveld, M.A., 1982. Interpretation of tentacular club structure in *Sthenoteuthis oualaniensis* (Lesson, 1830) and *Ommastrephes bartrami* (Lesueur, 1821) (Cephalopoda, Ommastrephidae). *Annals of the South African Museum* 89: 249-264.

- Siegel, S., 1956. Nonparametric Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences. McGraw-Hill: Kogakusha. 312 pp.
- Smith, P.J., 1985. Distribution of two species of arrow squid. (Nototodarus) around New Zealand. New Zealand Fisheries Research Division Occasional Publication 49, 11 pp.
- Smith, P.J., Roberts, P.L., and Hurst, R.J., 1981. Evidence for two species of arrow squid in the New Zealand fishery. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 15: 247-253.
- Tung, I.H., 1977. On the biology and the fishing of the squid, Nototodarus sloani sloani (Gray), in the New Zealand fishery . New Zealand Journal of Marine and Frewshater Research 15: 247-253. Taiwan University 111(3): 44-64.
- Voss, G.L., 1962. Six new species and two new subspecies of cephalopods from the Philippine Islands. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washing*ton 75: 169-176.
- Voss, G.L., 1963. Cephalopods of the Philippine Islands. United States National Museum Bulletin 234: 1-180.
- Voss, G.L. and Williamson, G.R., 1971. Cephalopods of Hong Kong. Government Press: Hong Kong, 138 pp.
- Wormuth, J.H., 1976. The biogeography and numerical taxonomy of the oegopsid squid family Ommastrephidae in the Pacific Ocean. *Bulletin of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography* 23.
- Young, R.F., 1978. Vertical distribution and photosensitive vesicles of pelgic cephalopods from Hawaiian waters. *Fishery Bulletin* 76(3): 583-615.

Appendix 1. Details of *Nototodarus* material examined. For each lot data for vessel, cruise number, date, latitude, longitude, depth range, size range in mm, museum and registration number are given in that order. Locations of material are as follows: NMV, Museum of Victoria, Melbourne; QM, Queensland Museum, Brisbane; AM, The Australian Museum, Sydney. For samples marked * only representative material was examined.

Northern Australian Nototodarus

"Soela", 2/82, Apr 1982, 18°-18°20'S, 118°20'E, 298-404 m, 83-157, NMV F51639*

"Soela", 4/82, Aug 1982, 18°-18°46'S, 117°-

118°30'E, 368-400 m, 53-248, QM Mo16363-4* "Socla", 1/83, Feb 1983, 17°41'S, 119°02'E, 318-360 m, 66-161, QM Mo16367*

"Soela", 2/83, Apr 1983, 18°30'-45'S, 117°19'-37'E, 340-400 m, 56-197,NMV F52539*

"Soela", 1/84 Jan-Feb, 1984, 13°17'-19°15'S, 115°38'-120°33'E, 224-600 m, 44-218, NMV F52541*

"Soela", 5/85, Nov-Dec 1985, 17°10'-23°13'S, 146°40'-154°25'E, 162-646 m, 55-231, NMV F52533-34*

"Iron Summer", Nov 1982-Jun 1983, 27°10'-27°20'S, 153°50-154°E, 180-600 m, 135-238, QM Mo16361-2*

"Hoyo-maru", Apr 1981, 23°58'S, 152°42'E, 120 m, 36, NMV F52531

"Craigmin", Nov 1980, 23°33'-26°S, 152°43'-153°53'E, 300-320 m, 79-157, NMV F52532*

"Kapala", Mar 1977, 37°25'S, 150'15'E, 329 m, 106, AMC 140403

"Kapala", Mar 1978, 32°34'S, 152°49'E, ~250 m, 77-85, AM C119659

"Kapala", Aug 1978, 29°32'S, 153°48'E, 410 m, 177-182, AM C137098

"Kapala", Fcb 1979, 11°35'S, 144°02'E, 275 m, 94-102, AM C137097

"Kapala", Jul 1982, 29°54'S, 153°39'E, 274 m, 142, AM C135502

Nototodarus hawaiiensis

"Hokusei-maru", 7 Feb 1983, Off Hilo, Hawaiian Islands, depth not known, 116-156, NMV 1-52554

Nototodarus sloani

"Ryoun-maru", 18 Jan 1983, 39°56'S, 172°422'E, depth not known 256, NMV F52537

Nototodarus gouldi

"Hoyo-maru", 6 Apr 1981, 41°07'S, 148°29'E, 100 m, 266, QM Mo16368